

**App. Serial No.: 09/776,730
Atty Docket No. HAR59 029
Petition Under 37 C.F.R. 1.181(a)**

EXHIBIT E

Copy of the Petition and Declaration of Facts filed by Applicant's representative, along with a copy of the facsimile confirmation showing successful transmission to the USPTO on May 16, 2005.

MESSAGE CONFIRMATION

MAY-16-2005 04:29 PM MON

FAX NUMBER : 416 971 6638
NAME : DIMOCK STRATTON

NAME/NUMBER : *223*06630151*15712730025
 PAGE : 29
 START TIME : MAY-16-2005 04:26PM MON
 ELAPSED TIME : 03' 52"
 MODE : STD ECM
 RESULTS : [O.K.]

ENTERED MAY 16 2005



DIMOCK STRATTON LLP
Barristers and Solicitors • Patent and Trade-Mark Agents

FAX

DATE: May 16, 2005

TO: NAME & FIRM	PHONE #	FAX #
Office of Petitions United States Patent and Trademark Office	1-571-272-3282	1-571-273-0025

FROM: Mark B. Eisen

OUR FILE: 663-151/MBE

TOTAL PAGES SENT (including this page): 29

Confirmation of facsimile to follow: Yes No, unless requestedIf you do not receive all the pages of this facsimile clearly,
please call Liz Forster at (416) 971-7202.

NOTES:

Re: U.S. Patent Application No. 09/776,730

*Documents Enclosed: Petition Requesting Withdrawal
of a Holding of Abandonment (in duplicate), Declaration of
Mark B. Eisen, Copy of the Applicant's letter of February 3,
2005, Memorandum to File of June 15, 2004, Copy of the
Applicant's letter of April 28, 2004 with enclosures, Copy of
Response and Petition for Extension of Time filed January 9,
2004.*

This fax may contain information which is confidential and privileged. If you are not
the intended recipient of this fax, you are not permitted to make use of this fax.
If you have received this in error, please notify the sender.



DIMOCK STRATTON LLP

Barristers and Solicitors • Patent and Trade-Mark Agents

FAX

DATE: May 16, 2005

TO: NAME & FIRM	PHONE #	FAX #
Office of Petitions United States Patent and Trademark Office	1-571-272-3282	1-571-273-0025

FROM: **Mark B. Eisen**

OUR FILE: 663-151/MBE

TOTAL PAGES SENT (including this page): 29

Confirmation of facsimile to follow: Yes No, unless requested

If you do not receive all the pages of this facsimile clearly,
please call Liz Forster at (416) 971-7202.

NOTES:

Re: U.S. Patent Application No. 09/776,730

***Documents Enclosed: Petition Requesting Withdrawal
of a Holding of Abandonment (in duplicate), Declaration of
Mark B. Eisen, Copy of the Applicant's letter of February 3,
2005, Memorandum to File of June 15, 2004, Copy of the
Applicant's letter of April 28, 2004 with enclosures, Copy of
Response and Petition for Extension of Time filed January 9,
2004.***

This fax may contain information which is confidential and privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this fax, you are not permitted to make use of this fax.

If you have received this in error, please notify the sender.

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this document and the documents indicated as enclosed herewith are being sent by facsimile transmission to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on the date set forth below.

May 16, 2005

Date


Mark B. Eisen
Registration No. 33088

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: David Duncan

Application No.: 09/776,730

Filed: February 6, 2001

Art Unit: 2654

Examiner: Angela A. Armstrong

Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR PACKING AND DECODING
AUDIO AND OTHER DATA

Attorney's Ref.: 663-151/MBE

Attention: Office of Petitions
Commissioner for Patents
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Customer Window, Mail Stop Petition
Randolph Building
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314
U.S.A.

Dear Sir:

**PETITION UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.181(a) REQUESTING
WITHDRAWAL OF A HOLDING OF ABANDONMENT**

1. Applicant hereby petitions to withdraw the holding of abandonment dated March 1, 2005, for applicant's alleged failure to file a proper reply to the Official Letter dated August 11, 2003. The ground for this Petition is that applicant did in fact file a proper reply to the Official Letter dated August 11, 2003.

2. An Official Letter was mailed August 11, 2003, requiring a response by November 11, 2003. Applicant filed the response and Petition for Extension of Time of two months attached hereto, with Certificate of Facsimile Transmission, by facsimile transmission on January 9, 2004. Also attached is a copy of the facsimile cover sheet accompanying applicant's response, and the facsimile confirmation sheet showing the successful transmission of applicant's response on that date.
3. Applicant accordingly submits that the holding of abandonment is in error.
4. A Declaration of the undersigned attesting to the above facts accompanies this Petition.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees to our Deposit Account No. 500663. A duplicate copy of this Petition is enclosed if required for this purpose.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

Executed at Toronto, Ontario, Canada on May 16, 2005.



Mark B. Eisen
Registration No. 33088
Customer No. 38735

MBE:lf

Atts: Declaration of Mark B. Eisen with attachments
Copy of Response and Petition for Extension of Time filed January 9, 2004

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

COPY

I hereby certify that this document and the documents indicated as enclosed herewith are being sent by facsimile transmission to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on the date set forth below.

May 16, 2005

Date

Mark B. Eisen
Registration No. 33088

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: David Duncan
Application No.: 09/776,730
Filed: February 6, 2001
Art Unit: 2654
Examiner: Angela A. Armstrong
Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR PACKING AND DECODING
AUDIO AND OTHER DATA
Attorney's Ref.: 663-151/MBE

Attention: Office of Petitions
Commissioner for Patents
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Customer Window, Mail Stop Petition
Randolph Building
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314
U.S.A.

Dear Sir:

**PETITION UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.181(a) REQUESTING
WITHDRAWAL OF A HOLDING OF ABANDONMENT**


1. Applicant hereby petitions to withdraw the holding of abandonment dated March 1, 2005, for applicant's alleged failure to file a proper reply to the Official Letter dated August 11, 2003. The ground for this Petition is that applicant did in fact file a proper reply to the Official Letter dated August 11, 2003.

2. An Official Letter was mailed August 11, 2003, requiring a response by November 11, 2003. Applicant filed the response and Petition for Extension of Time of two months attached hereto, with Certificate of Facsimile Transmission, by facsimile transmission on January 9, 2004. Also attached is a copy of the facsimile cover sheet accompanying applicant's response, and the facsimile confirmation sheet showing the successful transmission of applicant's response on that date.
3. Applicant accordingly submits that the holding of abandonment is in error.
4. A Declaration of the undersigned attesting to the above facts accompanies this Petition.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees to our Deposit Account No. 500663.
A duplicate copy of this Petition is enclosed if required for this purpose.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

Executed at Toronto, Ontario, Canada on May 16, 2005.



Mark B. Eisen
Registration No. 33088
Customer No. 38735

MBE:lf
Atts: Declaration of Mark B. Eisen with attachments
Copy of Response and Petition for Extension of Time filed January 9, 2004

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.: 09/776,730 Filed: February 6, 2001
Applicant: David Duncan Examiner: Angela A. Armstrong
Art Unit: 2654 Attorney's Ref.: 663-151/MBE
Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR PACKING AND DECODING
AUDIO AND OTHER DATA

Commissioner for Patents
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Alexandria, VA 22314
U.S.A.

Dear Sir:

DECLARATION


The undersigned being hereby warned that wilful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under 18 U.S.C. 1001, and that such wilful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent resulting therefrom, declares that:

1. The Applicant timely filed a proper reply to the Official Letter dated August 11, 2003.
2. An Official Letter was mailed August 11, 2003, requiring a response by November 11, 2003. The Applicant filed the response and Petition for Extension of Time of two months attached to the accompanying Petition to Withdraw a Holding of Abandonment ("the Petition"), with a duly executed Certificate of Facsimile Transmission, by facsimile transmission on January 9, 2004. Also attached to the Petition is a copy of the facsimile cover sheet accompanying the Applicant's response, and the facsimile confirmation sheet showing the successful transmission of the Applicant's response on that date.
3. I spoke to Examiner Patrick Edward on April 27, 2004, who called me to advise that no response had been filed. The undersigned advised Examiner Edward that a response had been filed, and the next day faxed to Examiner Edward's attention all the documentation that is attached to the accompanying Petition, under cover of a letter dated April 28, 2004. A copy of

the Applicant's letter is attached to this Declaration along with a copy of the facsimile confirmation showing successful transmission to the PTO on that date.

4. No further communication was received until June 15, 2004, at which time Examiner Vijay Chawan called my office and spoke to my patent clerk Liz Forster, asking if I had filed a response. Ms. Forster advised Examiner Chawan that the response had been filed on January 2, 2004 and that a copy had been sent to Examiner Edward in response to his inquiry on April 28, 2004.
5. Examiner Chawan advised Ms. Forster that Examiner Chawan would call our office back if the response needed to be re-sent to the PTO. A copy of a Memorandum to File prepared by Ms. Forster on the day of the call from Examiner Chawan is attached.
6. I therefore understood that no further action in connection with the response would be required by the Applicant unless Examiner Chawan called back as indicated. Examiner Chawan never called my office back, and I thus verily believed that the Applicant's response had been located and was being processed. In fact, I filed a request on February 3, 2005, copy enclosed, requesting an indication of when further action would be taken.
7. The next action received in this application was Notice of Abandonment, which was received by my office on March 15, 2005.
8. All statements made of my own knowledge are true and all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true.

Executed at Toronto, Ontario, Canada on May 16, 2005.



Mark B. Eisen
Registration No. 33088
Customer No. 38735

MBE:lf
Att.: Copy of the Applicant's letter of February 3, 2005
Memorandum to File dd. June 15, 2004
Copy of the Applicant's letter of April 28, 2004 with enclosures



DIMOCK STRATTON LLP

Intellectual Property Law

MARK B. EISEN
Ext. 242
meisen@dimock.com

*Certified by the Law Society as a Specialist
in Intellectual Property (Patent) Law*

SENT BY FACSIMILE (703) 746-9430

PRIVILEGED & CONFIDENTIAL

February 3, 2005

Commissioner for Patents
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Group Art Unit 2697
2011 South Clark Place
Crystal Plaza 2, Lobby, Room 1B03
Arlington, VA 22202
U.S.A.

Dear Sirs:

Re: United States Patent Application No. 09/776,730
**Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR PACKING
AND DECODING AUDIO AND OTHER DATA**
Applicant: Innovision Limited
Our Ref.: 663-151/MBE

Examiner Chawan telephoned on June 15, 2004, to confirm that we had filed a response to the non-final rejection mailed August 11, 2003. We confirmed that a response had been filed (with a petition for a two-month extension of time) on January 9, 2004, and this response was re-sent by facsimile on April 28, 2004, in response to a request from Examiner Lowe.

However, to date no further action has been taken in this file. Accordingly, please advise when we should expect to receive further action in this application.

Yours very truly,
DIMOCK STRATTON LLP

MARK B. EISEN

MBE:vg

M E M O T O F I L E

DATE: June 15, 2004

FILE: 663-151/MBE

RE: Response to Office Action dated August 11, 2003

Examiner Vijay Chawan of the USPTO called today to ask if we had filed a response in this application. I advised her that we had originally filed the response with Examiner Lowe on January 9, 2004 by fax with a two-month extension of time and that we had resent it to the same fax number on April 28, 2004 in response to a telephone call from Patrick Edward of the USPTO saying that they had not received the fax.

She advised that the Examiner in charge of the file had left and that the file was put on her docket, but that when she checked the file it did not contain a response to the office action of Aug. 11/03. She also seemed surprised that the file had been put on her docket.

She said she will check into this matter and will call me if the response needs to be re-faxed.

Liz Forster

MESSAGE CONFIRMATION

APR-28-2004 01:17 PM WED

FAX NUMBER :
NAME : DIMOCK STRATTON

NAME/NUMBER : *223*06630151*17037469430
 PAGE : 11
 START TIME : APR-28-2004 01:14PM WED
 ELAPSED TIME : 03' 06"
 MODE : STD ECM
 RESULTS : [O.K.]



DIMOCK STRATTON CLARIZIO LLP
Barristers and Solicitors • Patent and Trade-Mark Agents

FAX

DATE: April 28, 2004

TO: NAME & FIRM	PHONE #	FAX #
Examiner Treffanay R. Lowe United States Patent and Trademark Office GROUP ART UNIT: 2697	1-703-305-5593	1-703-746-9430

FROM: Mark B. Eisen

OUR FILE: 663-151/MBE

TOTAL PAGES SENT (including this page): 11

Confirmation of facsimile to follow: Yes No, unless requestedIf you do not receive all the pages of this facsimile clearly,
please call Liz Forster at (416) 971-7202.

NOTES:

Re: U.S. Patent Application No. 09/776,730

*Documents Enclosed: Confirmation of successful
facsimile transmission, facsimile cover sheet, applicant's response
dated January 9, 2004 (signature page in duplicate) with the Certificate of
Facsimile Transmission, Petition for two month extension of time
(in duplicate).*

This fax may contain information which is confidential and privileged. If you are not
the intended recipient of this fax, you are not permitted to make use of this fax.
If you have received this in error, please notify the sender.



DIMOCK STRATTON CLARIZIO LLP
Barristers and Solicitors • Patent and Trade-Mark Agents

FAX

DATE: April 28, 2004

TO: NAME & FIRM	PHONE #	FAX #
Examiner Treffaney R. Lowe United States Patent and Trademark Office GROUP ART UNIT: 2697	1-703-305-5593	1-703-746-9430

FROM: **Mark B. Eisen**

OUR FILE: 663-151/MBE

TOTAL PAGES SENT (including this page): 11

Confirmation of facsimile to follow: Yes No, unless requested

If you do not receive all the pages of this facsimile clearly,
please call Liz Forster at (416) 971-7202.

NOTES:

Re: U.S. Patent Application No. 09/776,730

*Documents Enclosed: Confirmation of successful
facsimile transmission, facsimile cover sheet, applicant's response
dated January 9, 2004 (signature page in duplicate) with the Certificate of
Facsimile Transmission, Petition for two month extension of time
(in duplicate).*

This fax may contain information which is confidential and privileged. If you are not
the intended recipient of this fax, you are not permitted to make use of this fax.

If you have received this in error, please notify the sender.



DIMOCK STRATTON LLP

Intellectual Property Law

MARK B. EISEN

Ext. 242

meisen@dimock.com

Certified by the Law Society as a Specialist
in Intellectual Property (Patent) Law

SENT BY FAX (703) 746-9430

April 28, 2004

Commissioner for Patents
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
2011 South Clark Place
Crystal Plaza 2, Lobby, Room 1B03
Arlington, VA 22202
U.S.A.

Attention: Examiner Treffaney R. Lowe

Dear Sir:

Re: United States Patent Application No. 09/776,730

Filing Date: February 6, 2001

Art Unit: 2697

**Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR PACKING AND
DECODING AUDIO AND OTHER DATA**

Applicant: Innovision Limited

Our File: 663-151/MBE


This is further to the telephone conversation between the undersigned and Mr. Patrick Edward on April 27, 2004, in which I was advised that no response had been received in reply to the Office Action dated August 11, 2003.

The applicant did timely file a response to the office action mailed August 11, 2003. The response was filed by facsimile on January 9, 2004 with a Petition for a two month extension of time for responding.

As proof thereof, I attach a copy of the applicant's response dated January 9, 2004 with the Certificate of Facsimile Transmission and the Petition for a two month extension; a copy of the facsimile cover page sent with the response; and a copy of the facsimile confirmation sheet confirming successful transmission of the Response, Petition and cover sheet on that date.

Further action is therefore respectfully requested.

Yours very truly,
DIMOCK STRATTON LLP


MARK B. EISEN
(Regn. No. 33,088)

MBE:lf

Encls.

MESSAGE CONFIRMATION

JAN-09-2004 12:47 PM FRI

FAX NUMBER :
NAME :

NAME/NUMBER : *315*06630151*17037469430.
 PAGE : 7
 START TIME : JAN-09-2004 12:45PM FRI
 ELAPSED TIME : 01'40"
 MODE : STD ECM
 RESULTS : [O.K.]



DIMOCK STRATTON CLARIZIO LLP
Barristers and Solicitors • Patent and Trade-Mark Agents

FAX

DATE: January 9, 2004

TO: NAME & FIRM	PHONE #	FAX #
Examiner Treffaney R. Lowe United States Patent and Trademark Office GROUP ART UNIT: 2697	1-703-305-5593	1-703-746-9430

FROM: Mark B. Eisen

OUR FILE: 663-151/MBE

TOTAL PAGES SENT (including this page): 7

Confirmation of facsimile to follow: Yes No, unless requestedIf you do not receive all the pages of this facsimile clearly,
please call Janice Ranco at (416) 971-7202.

NOTES:

Re: U.S. Patent Application No. 09/776,730

*Documents Enclosed: Response to Office Action of
August 11, 2003 (signature page in duplicate); Petition for 2 month
extension of time (in duplicate).*

This fax may contain information which is confidential and privileged. If you are not
the intended recipient of this fax, you are not permitted to make use of this fax.
If you have received this in error, please notify the sender.



DIMOCK STRATTON CLARIZIO LLP
Barristers and Solicitors • Patent and Trade-Mark Agents

FAX

DATE: January 9, 2004

TO: NAME & FIRM	PHONE #	FAX #
Examiner Treffaney R. Lowe United States Patent and Trademark Office GROUP ART UNIT: 2697	1-703-305-5593	1-703-746-9430

FROM: **Mark B. Eisen**

OUR FILE: **663-151/MBE**

TOTAL PAGES SENT (including this page): **7**

Confirmation of facsimile to follow: Yes No, unless requested

If you do not receive all the pages of this facsimile clearly,
please call Janice Ranco at (416) 971-7202.

NOTES:

Re: U.S. Patent Application No. 09/776,730

*Documents Enclosed: Response to Office Action of
August 11, 2003 (signature page in duplicate); Petition for 2 month
extension of time (in duplicate).*

This fax may contain information which is confidential and privileged. If you are not
the intended recipient of this fax, you are not permitted to make use of this fax.

If you have received this in error, please notify the sender.

20 Queen Street West, Suite 3202, Box 102, Toronto, Ontario Canada M5H 3R3

Tel: (416) 971-7202 Fax (416) 971-6638

E-mail: firm@dimock.com • www.dimock.com

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADE MARK OFFICE

Serial No.: 09/776,730
Filing Date: February 6, 2001
Applicant: Innovision Limited
Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR PACKING AND DECODING
AUDIO AND OTHER DATA
Examiner: Treffaney R. Lowe
Attorney's Ref: 663-151/MBE

Commissioner of Patents
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
2011 South Clark Place
Crystal Plaza 2, Lobby, Room 1B03
Arlington, VA 22202
U.S.A.

Dear Sir:

PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

The applicant requests that the time for taking action in this case be extended pursuant to 37 CFR §1.135(a) for:

one month three months
 two months four months

The fee set in 37 CFR §1.17 for the extension of time is \$420.

() Applicant is a small entity entitled to pay reduced fees in this application.

() Our check in the amount of \$ is enclosed.

(X) Fee of \$420. is to be charged to our Deposit Account No. 500663. A duplicate of this paper is enclosed.

(X) The Commissioner is authorized to charge any deficiency or credit any overpayment in the above fees to our Deposit Account No. 500663. A duplicate of this paper is enclosed if required for this purpose.

Also enclosed is a:

Response Notice of Appeal Appeal Brief
 Other: [provide details]

INNOVISION LIMITED

by Mark B. Eisen

Date: January 9, 2004

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Serial No.: 09/776,730
Filing Date: February 6, 2001
Applicant: Innovision Limited
Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR PACKING AND DECODING
AUDIO AND OTHER DATA
Examiner: Treffaney R. Lowe
Attorney's Ref: 663-151/MBE

Commissioner of Patents
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
2011 South Clark Place
Crystal Plaza 2, Lobby, Room 1B03
Arlington, VA 22202
U.S.A.

Dear Sir:

PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

The applicant requests that the time for taking action in this case be extended pursuant to 37 CFR §1.135(a) for:

one month three months
 two months four months

The fee set in 37 CFR §1.17 for the extension of time is \$420.

() Applicant is a small entity entitled to pay reduced fees in this application.

() Our check in the amount of \$ is enclosed.

(X) Fee of \$420. is to be charged to our Deposit Account No. 500663. A duplicate of this paper is enclosed.

(X) The Commissioner is authorized to charge any deficiency or credit any overpayment in the above fees to our Deposit Account No. 500663. A duplicate of this paper is enclosed if required for this purpose.

Also enclosed is a:

Response Notice of Appeal Appeal Brief
 Other: [provide details]

INNOVISION LIMITED

by W. A. J.


Mark B. Eisen
Reg. No. 33088
Date: January 9, 2004

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this document and the documents indicated as enclosed herewith are being sent by facsimile transmission to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on the date set forth below.

January 9, 2004

Date


Mark B. Eisen
Registration No. 33088

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.: 09/776,730

Filing Date: February 6, 2001

Applicant: Innovision Limited

Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS
FOR PACKING AND
DECODING AUDIO AND
OTHER DATA

Examiner: Treffaney R. Lowe

Attorney's Ref.: 663-151/MBE

AMENDMENT

In response to the Official Action
Dated: August 11, 2003

Commissioner for Patents
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
2011 South Clark Place
Customer Window, Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendment
Crystal Plaza 2, Lobby, Room 1B03
Arlington, VA 22202
U.S.A.

Dear Sir:

REMARKS

The Examiner has rejected claims 1, 2 and 10 as being obvious based on Kopf in view of Fielder, and further in view of Warren. In respect of claim 1, the Examiner's position is that Fielder discloses steps a. and b., Warren teaches steps c. and e., and Kopf teaches step d. The applicant respectfully submits that there is no motivation for this combination, but it is not necessary to

rely on this to traverse the objection because Fielder does not teach steps a. and b. of the claimed method. In particular, Fielder does not teach providing one word in each compression packet with a **component** of configuration data, so that overall a compression block contains sufficient configuration information to identify the manner of packing data into the compression block.

The passage in Fielder cited by the Examiner, column 8, lines 41-43, teaches control data being generated for a control segment 270 that includes a synchronization pattern which allows decoders to synchronize to sequential frames. This has nothing to do with the manner of packing data into the frames, but rather provides synchronization information only. Equally important, the control data is not indicated as being merely "a component" of configuration data, which is read together with other components to identify the manner of packing the data.

In fact, Fielder specifically teaches away from this feature, stating that his process may be repeated for each block of the audio signal coded into a corresponding sequential frame of the data channel (col. 8, lines 49-52). There would be reason to repeat the process for each block of the audio signal, and in fact it would not be possible to repeat the process for each block of the audio signal, if Fielder generated *different* components of configuration data in each block. Fielder's system is redundant, and the repetition of the same configuration data in different blocks takes up space that could be used for other data.


According to the present invention, compressed audio and other data is packed into any available bits for an audio subframe in a way that the packing method used can be detected and decoded at the receiving station. Each word within a compression block (e.g. consisting of 48 compression packets) is provided with only a **component** of the configuration information. The packing methodology is decoded at the receiving end after the various components within the compression block have been read. In this manner, the redundancy inherent in systems like Fielder's is avoided, allowing more information to be packed into the remaining available bits; accommodating 16, 20 and 24 bit resolutions; and allowing for packing in a variety of formats, including different formats for different channels.

The applicant thus submits that claim 1 and 11 as presently written distinguish the invention over the cited prior art. Favourable reconsideration and allowance of this application are therefore respectfully requested.

A Petition for an Extension of Time requesting an extension of two months for filing the subject response is enclosed. The Commissioner is authorized to charge any deficiency or credit any overpayment in the fees for same to our Deposit Account No. 500663. A signed copy of this page is enclosed if required for this purpose.

Executed at Toronto, Ontario, Canada, on January 9, 2004.

INNOVISION LIMITED


Mark B. Eisen
Registration No. 33088

MBE:jr
Encl. Duplicate of signature page
Petition for Extension of Time (in duplicate)


January 9, 2004

The applicant thus submits that claim 1 and 11 as presently written distinguish the invention over the cited prior art. Favourable reconsideration and allowance of this application are therefore respectfully requested.

A Petition for an Extension of Time requesting an extension of two months for filing the subject response is enclosed. The Commissioner is authorized to charge any deficiency or credit any overpayment in the fees for same to our Deposit Account No. 500663. A signed copy of this page is enclosed if required for this purpose.

Executed at Toronto, Ontario, Canada, on January 9, 2004.

INNOVISION LIMITED


Mark B. Eisen
Registration No. 33088

MBE:jr
Encl. Duplicate of signature page
Petition for Extension of Time (in duplicate)

Copy

MESSAGE CONFIRMATION

JAN-09-2004 12:47 PM FRI

FAX NUMBER :
NAME :

NAME/NUMBER : *315*06630151*17037469430
 PAGE : 7
 START TIME : JAN-09-2004 12:45PM FRI
 ELAPSED TIME : 01'40"
 MODE : STD ECM
 RESULTS : [O.K.]



DIMOCK STRATTON CLARIZIO LLP
Barristers and Solicitors • Patent and Trade-Mark Agents

FAX

DATE: January 9, 2004

TO: NAME & FIRM	PHONE #	FAX #
Examiner Treffaney R. Lowe United States Patent and Trademark Office GROUP ART UNIT: 2697	1-703-305-5593	1-703-746-9430

FROM: Mark B. Eisen

OUR FILE: 663-151/MBE

TOTAL PAGES SENT (including this page): 7

Confirmation of facsimile to follow: Yes No, unless requested

If you do not receive all the pages of this facsimile clearly,
 please call Janice Ranco at (416) 971-7202.

NOTES:

Re: U.S. Patent Application No. 09/776,730

*Documents Enclosed: Response to Office Action of
 August 11, 2003 (signature page in duplicate); Petition for 2 month
 extension of time (in duplicate).*

This fax may contain information which is confidential and privileged. If you are not
 the intended recipient of this fax, you are not permitted to make use of this fax.
 If you have received this in error, please notify the sender.



DIMOCK STRATTON CLARIZIO LLP
Barristers and Solicitors • Patent and Trade-Mark Agents

FAX

DATE: January 9, 2004

TO: NAME & FIRM	PHONE #	FAX #
Examiner Treffaney R. Lowe United States Patent and Trademark Office GROUP ART UNIT: 2697	1-703-305-5593	1-703-746-9430

FROM: **Mark B. Eisen**

OUR FILE: 663-151/MBE

TOTAL PAGES SENT (including this page): 7

Confirmation of facsimile to follow: Yes No, unless requested

If you do not receive all the pages of this facsimile clearly,
please call Janice Ranco at (416) 971-7202.

NOTES:

Re: U.S. Patent Application No. 09/776,730

***Documents Enclosed: Response to Office Action of
August 11, 2003 (signature page in duplicate); Petition for 2 month
extension of time (in duplicate).***

This fax may contain information which is confidential and privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this fax, you are not permitted to make use of this fax.

If you have received this in error, please notify the sender.